

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,166 | 10/04/2005 | Bipin Pandey | GRT/4062-143 | 5619 |
| | 7590 10/22/2007 NDERHYE, PC | EXAMINER | | |
| 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203 | | | MORRIS, PATRICIA L | |
| ARLINGTON, | VA 22203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/22/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | | |
|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/520,166 | PANDEY ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Patricia L. Morris | 1625 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply | cation appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community is not period for reply is specified above, the maximum stated that the set of extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period for | AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. Autory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice | b)⊠ This action is non-final. or allowance except for formal mat | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-22 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | withdrawn from consideration. tion and/or election requirement. Examiner. a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to | |
| Applicant may not request that any object | tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority of3. Copies of the certified copies of | documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Informal Patent Application |

Application/Control Number: 10/520,166

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 2 are under consideration in this application.

Claims 3-22 are held withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected subject matter 37 CFR 1.142(b). Claim 5 was inadvertently included with Group I and will be held withdrawn from consideration because formula (13) is not recited anywhere in claim 1 and is drawn to another process.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of Group I filed on August 20, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that there is no burden at all on the examiner to search all the inventions since the PCT Office did not restrict the claims. This is not found persuasive for the reasons clearly set forth in the previous Office action. Moreover, the PCT Office may have chosen not to give any lack of unity of inventions. Further, applicants have failed to advance any cogent reasons as to why the inventions do not lack unity of invention. Applicants incorrectly allege that claims 19 and 20 are not grouped correctly. This is not found persuasive because the claims are not even proper and fail to conform to US practice and are not even dependent on a compound claim. Claim 19 appears to be a hybrid product by process claim, however, the claim appears to be an alleged composition claim. Further, applicants' own search report states that claim 19 is not novel from the prior art. Pioglitazone fails to make any contribution to the prior art. The claims will NOT be rejoined because applicants have elected a process of making which is not the same scope as the claimed compounds.

The lack of unity requirement is deemed sound and proper and will be maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/520,166

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 fails to clearly the process because it fails to recite the reaction conditions, *i.e.*, reagents, solvents, temperature, etc. Further, the term reducing fails to describe a process and is an obvious process under 35 USC 103.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the phrases "such as", "preferably" and "more preferably" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The plural 's' on "thiazolidinediones" and "salts" makes claim 1 read on mixtures rather than specific compounds.

The claims measure the invention. United Carbon Co. V. Binney & Smith Co., 55 USPQ 381 at 384, col. 1, end of 1st paragraph, Supreme Court of the United States (1942).

The C.C.P.A. in 1978 held "that invention is the subject matter defined by the claims submitted by the applicant. We have consistently held that no applicant should have limitations of the specification read into a claim where no express statement of the limitation is included in the claim": In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11, at 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1625

Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Morris
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

plm

October 17, 2007